

Professors and Their Politics By Neil Gross **Professors and Their Politics booklet** The results show that professors differ politically across countries and tend to be right leaning on economic politics.

Book Professors and Their politics news

I'm just not convinced by any of these arguments as I don't really understand why these numbers show these things. **Professors and Their Politics ebooks air** After I read the book and reviewed it I was kinda thinking about how I had only read the left-wing point of view because picking random social science stuff you find online will mean that you mostly read left-wing ideas. **Political science lecturer positons** Since then I have read good articles.

Book Professors and Their politics in america

Professors and Their Politics tackles the assumption that universities are ivory towers of radicalism with the potential to corrupt conservative youth. **Professors and Their Politics ebooks online** Neil Gross and Solon Simmons gather the work of leading sociologists historians and other researchers interested in the relationship between politics and higher education to present evidence to the contrary. **Book Professors and Their politics definition** In eleven meaty chapters contributors describe the political makeup of American academia today consider the causes of its liberal tilt discuss the college experience for politically conservative students and delve into historical debates about professorial politics. **Professors and Their politicstics** Offering readable rigorous analyses rather than polemics Professors and Their Politics yields important new insights into the nature of higher education institutions while challenging dogmas of both the left and the right. **Professors and Their politicsparty** Professors and Their Politics2 stars as a book3 stars as a debate input from the pro liberal academia side - for people with expertise on the area5 stars for the first chapterBiases: pro liberal academia pro no-change in academia blank slate focus with no discussion of genetic variables even though we know they play a huge role in this specific area claims disproving large scale discriminationReview:I looked a bit into the writers and it seemed like they didn't use big words to convey a strong political message which made it seem scientific to me. **Professors and Their politicsxml** Though after starting reading the book I read quite a few old and new papers on this topic and found out that this book is pretty much an answer to a small group of conservative and centrist academics who claim that discrimination against conservatives may be a big issue in academia.

Professors and Their politicsparty

Pro academia bias if you can call it a full-blown bias: **Professors and Their Politics book pdf** The main claim is that discrimination against conservatives in academia is a myth, **EPub Professors and Their politics and politics** I remember believing in the gender wage discrimination myth until I started reading the studies on the area. **Professors and Their politicstics** I also falsely believed that racism explained race differences in academia and the job market, **Book Professors and Their politics** Two of my main believes fell because I started reading the science and couldn't support those biases anymore. **Book Professors and Their politics reddit** Now onto the idea that conservatives are discriminated against in academia, **Professors and Their politicsman** Will this one also fall? That's stronger than the other 2 claims as we are talking about a clash of ideas and ingroups here: **Political science lecturer positons** But in my mind there is no great support for the hypothesis yet: **Professors and Their politicsxml** And this book frantically tries to make sure that every conservative out there knows that, **Book Professors and Their politics reddit** It's clear that they hide behind academic jargon to not reveal their cards, **Professors and Their Politics**

book pdf The editors conducted a study to look into the political leanings of professors. **PDF Professors and Their politics** The chapter 1 study surveyed 1416 American professors with full-time appointments from 927 institutions. **Book Professors and Their politics in america** Chapter 2 is a loose discussion of reasonings behind professors' political leaning. **Book Professors and Their politics definition** On page 60 they propose that future professors don't get into fields that have a political leaning counter to their worldview, **Professors and Their Politics books** Completely missing the fact that liberals may just have inborn interests making them go into sociology.

Book Professors and Their politics definition

Psychology or journalism: **Professors and Their politicsxml** They skip any and all talk about genetic dispositions which makes all their arguments incomplete as they stop just shy of explaining any effect. **Kindle Professors and Their politics** But the chapter does explain a study showing that the political differences in universities are likely caused by some sort of self-selection caused by a mystical force. **Professors and Their politicstics** It's just that they don't even try to clearly explore the reasons for this by looking at heritability estimates and life trajectories: **Political science lecturer positons** Either way I was confused by the chapter because they didn't really have a clear mindset: **Professors and Their politicstics** It's sociology hitting left and right without using a foundation strong of evolutionary psychology. **Professors and Their politicstics** While the results are interesting the writing is yet again all over the place, **Book Professors and Their politics in america** The sort you won't fully understand until you have read a lot of it in this area or reread the article - I did both: **Professors and Their politicstics** Yet again there is no conclusive explanation and instead 10 more questions appear, **Book Professors and Their politics pdf** But it did make me think that maybe professors in many countries are not left-leaning, **Professors and Their Politics books** But then again I've seen a study from Europe not supporting this claim. **Political science lecturer positons** Here they study discrimination by qualitative means while also gathering concrete data, **Book Professors and Their politics in america** Here we are back on track with a study I already have heard about, **Professors and Their Politics ebook download** They write clearly and the arguments are well-structured so I don't find any great holes in them: **Professors and Their Politics pdf free** They sent out letters to universities asking to work on projects with them, **Book Professors and Their politics and politics** 1 fake applicant had worked on the Obama campaign and the other had worked on the McCain campaign, **Political leanings of college professors** Even though McCain is somewhat center-leaning he did run for GOP, **Book Professors and Their politics in america** And there were no noticeable differences in the emotional tones of the letters or numbers of the replies: **PDF Professors and Their politics news** There is for sure some discrimination to be found in single cases: **Book Professors and Their politics** I think this sort of experiment is fine enough to dismiss such a weak claim of discrimination: **Professors and Their Politics books** But it's not really a grand study and focuses a lot on hard to measure concepts, **Professors and Their Politics books** Here they follow single students to observe how they change their political leanings during college, **Book Professors and Their politics pdf** They claim that studies just comparing colleges to regular jobs or other such comparisons don't really pinpoint an effect: **Professors and Their politicsxml** In this study they of course yet again disprove the conservative hypothesis: **Book Professors and Their politics pdf** I need the regular stuff to right away make my way around a study: **Book Professors and Their politics and politics** It's confusing as it's harder to remember the details of the study, **Book Professors and Their politics reddit** It's for sure a very interesting study at any rate that shows that both political sides go towards center during college, **Book Professors and Their politics pdf** Chapter 6 is a qualitative sociology study comparing 2 groups of conservative students in 2 universities: **Professors and Their politicsparty** It's basically a soft science anthropology study

that would fit better in a documentary as it doesn't really bring any conclusive evidence to the table: **Professors and Their Politics kindle** It's fine.

Professors and Their politicstics

But I rather read good evidence and just observe this stuff in YouTube videos unless they also bring numbers to the table which they don't: **Book Professors and Their politics news** Here we go into philosophical discussions on academia and political changes, **Book Professors and Their politics news** It's very soft science with just arguments being used and very complicated academic writing: **Political science lecturer positons** Unless you are really eager to read this part slow and try to think about all those confusing sentences it's probably not worth it compared to the studies. **Political science lecturer positons** So if you really want to get into the debate from this point of view you can. **Professors and Their politicstics** But I rather suggest you read the alternative claims too because the debate is not settled here: **Professors and Their Politics book pdf** Conclusion: This is a book for academics who want to disprove the discrimination against conservatives assumption, **Professors and Their Politics kindle direct** And I think the book does a fair job on this point even though I personally didn't need this much convincing. **Political science lecturer positons** This is obviously not for everyone and they do skip any heritability discussion. **Book Professors and Their politics1** I think that point could have pretty much sealed the debate for them for now: **Kindle Professors and Their politics quizlet** Alas we are left with an incomplete argument that still feels better than the alternative incomplete argument as it's not their job to prove a negative. **Book Professors and Their politics reddit** What percentage is heritability here? We did these studies with grades and know that grades are not a cultural construct so the discussion kinda finished there. **EPub Professors and Their politics pdf** But here sociologists are using outdated blank slate point of views only moving the debate forward very slowly: **Professors and Their Politics books** The book would be better if the alternative viewpoints at least could have a say too but I guess it's not an equal debate: **Political science lecturer positons** It's a good book for people needing to study up on this topic. **Professors and Their politicsman** And they are extremely sociology focused so it's very loose and unfocused science. **Book Professors and Their politics in america** Ignoring all psychology findings of the last 40 years is a huge miss though, **Book Professors and Their politics pdf** So since the book didn't have any reviews I'm here to read it and write one: **Book Professors and Their politics news** So this book has that pro liberal something I have seen counter-studies on though so don't right away believe this is the final conclusion, **Book Professors and Their politics reddit** This is not terrible like the Noah Carl one that question some of the studies and assumptions in the book. **Professors and Their politicsxml** Now I'm becoming more critical of the book and the main ideas in it. **PDF Professors and Their politics in america** But I won't lower the 2 star rating as it's still a decent/good input to the debate. Obviously it's not a best seller type of accessible book. Otherwise it would have some reviews. The intro is terrible. Hard to read and feels pointless. Just a weak debate intro that tells me nothing concrete. Terrible. Chapter 1 is really good. They have some really great tables in this chapter. This book is a collection of semi-articles. So we are reading paper where not chapters. They responded on the online survey in 2006. Mostly bigger departments were asked. Then unfortunately it drops in quality yet again. And these reasonings are obnoxiously confusing at times. Occam's Razor is misused here in a big degree. Chapter 3 is a letdown yet again. This is mediocre academic jargon writing. I've seen a study from Sweden supporting this claim. Chapter 4 tackles the elephant in the room directly. Finally revealing what this book is about. I understand everything they write too. The study is good too. Basically.

Professors and Their Politics book pdf

No evidence of a grand discrimination. This is in line with race and gender discrimination studies. But then it can work both ways. Chapter 5. They measure too many variables at one time. Yet again I'm convinced. But the study reads badly in such a book chapter. Here they just explain everything with text. I'm just more convinced by numbers than letters. And here it's mostly all letters. Rest of chapters. You already know what their worldview is. But here it's just presented in a very convoluted way. It's academic studies and dry text. Yet they leave everything hanging. But the chapters/experiments are hit and miss. This is not a conclusive answer to anything. But it is a significant part of a greater debate. Something to add. At any rate the charts are very much a confusing mess. They go from -1 to 3 and have dots moving on lines. Then they regularly change the X axis. I don't get this choice of chart. I don't get this choice of writing either. It's way too confusing to be in a simple book. Academic writing can truly be confusing. <https://www.adamsmith.org/research/la>. 376

